Challenges for Next-Generation 3D Displays

Yasuhiro Takaki

Institute of Engineering Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology



International optical convergence technology conference 2015

Tokyo Univ. of Agri. & Tech.

TUAT

Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology http://www.tuat.ac.jp

Established in 1877, National University in Japan **Faculty of Agriculture: Fuchu Campus**



Faculty of Engineering: Koganei Campus



International optical convergence

technology conference 2015



40 min. from Tokyo Station 2 hours from Narita 1.5 hours from Haneda

Undergraduates: 3,849 Postgraduates: 1,893 Faculty & Staff: 650 (2015)

Tokyo Univ. of Agri. & Tech.



2

Why Do We Need 3D Displays ?

1. Depth information

Structures of objects and scenes can be understood easily and precisely.

2. High reality & presence

We feel as if real objects existed or we were in real world.

3. Advanced man-machine interface

Digital information is provided at the same depth of real objects.

4. Object appearance reproduction

Directional light reflection on object surfaces causes gloss, transparency, softness, etc.











International optical convergence technology conference 2015

Effective Applications of 3D Displays

Endoscopic & robotic surgery





da Vinci

Virtual experiences



Photonics KORLA2015

Robot manipulation





Design



and Future TV...

3D Perception: Physiological Factors



Vergence

the angle between the lines of sight when the left and the right eyes see the same point



Motion parallax

the change in a retinal image due to the movement of eyes



Binocular disparity

the horizontal displacement in retinal images between the left and right eyes



Accommodation

the change of the focal length of the lenses in the eyes when focusing on an object

Harmony among these four factors is the key to developing comfortable 3D displays.



3D Perception: Psychological Factors



Psychological factors are important in the creation of effective 3D content.





Present 3D Displays

Eye-glasses based

- TV
- LC shutter glasses, polarizing glasses
- Vergence, binocular parallax



Glasses-free: multi-view

- PC monitor, advertisement
- ~ 9 views, multiple viewers
- Vergence, binocular parallax, motion parallax



International optical convergence

technology conference 2015

- Mobile, game

- Single viewer



Head-mount display

Glasses-free: two-view

- Vergence, binocular parallax

÷÷

- Game, VR
- Head tracking
- Vergence, binocular parallax



Tokyo Univ. of Agri. & Tech.



Problems of Present 3D Displays



A natural 3D display, which is free from these two problems, needs to be developed as a next-generation 3D display.



Classification of 3D Display Techniques

Wavefront reconstruction Holography



Ray reconstruction Multi-view, integral imaging





Stack of 2D images

Holography

Holography was invented by D. Gabor, who was awarded the Nobel Prize in 1971.



Wavefront emitted from 3D objects are reconstructed.

Vergence	0
Binocular disparity	0
Accommodation	0
Motion parallax	0

Spherical waves produce sharp points which constitute 3D images.

 \rightarrow Eyes can focus on 3D images.

The vergence-accommodation conflict does not occur.

10

Integral Imaging

Integral imaging was invented by G. Lippmann, who was awarded the Nobel Prize in 1908. Originally, it is called "integral photography."



Rays from each lens can be controlled in horizontal and vertical directions. Rays emitted from 3D objects are reconstructed.

It provides 3D images with full parallax (horizontal + vertical parallaxes).

The 3D resolution is quite low.

Vergence	0
Binocular disparity	0
Accommodation	×
Motion parallax	0

Multi-view Display



it to generate multiple viewpoints.

Images generated by all lens are superimposed at a certain distance.

Through viewpoints, corresponding parallax images can be viewed.

3D images with horizontal parallax are provided.



(Flat-panel resolution) / (Number of views)

Lenticular lens

(3D resolution) =

Vergence

(Lens pitch) \leq (pixel group pitch)

View Parallax points images

 \bigcirc



Super Multi-view Display Technique

The interval of viewpoints is made smaller than the pupil diameter of eyes. \rightarrow Two or more rays passing through an identical point in the space enter the pupil simultaneously. When eyes focus on 3D images



Pupil diameter: 2 ~ 8 mm (average 5 mm) \rightarrow Interval of viewpoints: < 5 mm

Number of viewpoints: 30 ~ 100 (horizontal)



technology conference 2015



Tokyo Univ. of Agri. & Tech.



Video of Super Multi-view Image

Focus





Generated by scanning type SMV display T. Ueda, Y. Toda, and Y. Takaki, IDW2012

Number of viewpoints	55
Resolution	1,024 × 768
Width of viewing zone	182 mm
Interval of viewpoints	3.3 mm
Screen size	$40 \times 30 \text{ mm}^2$ (2.0 in.)
Refresh rate	48.5 Hz





Flat-panel Type Super Multi-view Displays





Number of views: 72 Resolution: 320×400 Screen size: 22.2 in. 4K panels is used.

Number of views: 72 Resolution: 640×400 Screen size: 22.2 in. Two 4K panels are used.



Number of views: 30 Resolution: 256 × 128 Screen size: 7.2 in.

Mobile use Developed with NTT Docomo

Flat-panel displays with slanted subpixel structure and the slanted lenticular technique are used to increase the number of views. Cylindrical lens



Stereo camera



Eye tracking is introduced to reduce the required number of views.

Interval of views	2.6 mm	
Number of views	R 8 + L 8	
Resolution	256 × 192	
Screen size	2.57 in.	
Observation	250 mm	
distance	350 mm	

Developed with Seiko Epson

Y. Takaki et al., Opt. Express 19, 4129 (2011)

Tokyo Univ. of Agri. & Tech.



Multi-projector Type Super Multi-view Displays



Accommodation Measurement



Auto refractometer FR-5000S (Grand Seiko Corp.)



Visual function measurement equipment specialized for 3D displays Jointly developed with TOPCON Corp. under the SCOPE project

R & L Accommodation + Vergence

+ R & L Pupil diameters





Results of Accommodation Measurement



Other Super Multi-view Displays





International optical convergence technology conference 2015

Tokyo Univ. of Agri. & Tech.



Ray Reconstruction v.s. Wavefront Reconstruction

Ray reconstruction Super multi-view display





Holographic displays can produce sharper 3D images than super multi-view displays.

Problems of Electronic Holographic Display



Requirements for SLM:

1) Pixel pitch needs to be ~1 μ m.

21

2) To increase the screen size, the number of pixels must be proportionally increased.

Viewing zone angle: $\Phi = 2 \sin^{-1} (\lambda / 2p)$ Screen size:

 $Np \times Mp$

Screen 40 in., viewing zone angle 30° ($\lambda = 0.6 \ \mu m$)

Resolution: $N \times M = 764,000 \times 430,000$

Pixel pitch: $p = 0.97 \,\mu\text{m}$

Pixel pitch of SLM: pResolution of SLM: $N \times M$ Wavelength of light: λ

Super Hi-Vision (Ultra HD) Resolution: 7,680 × 4,320

International optical convergence technology conference 2015

Previously Proposed Holographic Displays

[Multiple SLMs]

[AOM + 2D scanning]



Comparisons of Holographic Displays







Horizontally Scanning Holography Using MEMS-SLM



Screen Scanning System: Experimental System

MEMS-SLM



Anamorphic imaging system

$$M_x = 0.183$$

 $M_y = 5.00$

Horizontal scanner



Galvano mirror MicroMaxTMSeries671

Scanning frequency: 60 Hz Scan angle: $\pm 18.1^{\circ}$ Screen size: 3.5 in. $(73.1 \times 52.5 \text{ mm}^2)$ Number of elementary holograms: 222

(DMD) Discovery[™]3000 Frame rate: 13.333 kHz Resolution: $1,024 \times 768$ Pixel pitch: 13.68 µm Screen size: 0.7 in. $(14.0 \times 10.5 \text{ mm}^2)$

Elementary hologram Size: $2.56 \times 52.5 \text{ mm}^2$ Horizontal pixel pitch: 2.5 µm Horizontal viewing angle: 15°



Screen Scanning System: Reconstructed Image



International optical convergence technology conference 2015

Tokyo Univ. of Agri. & Tech.



Screen Scanning System: Color System

- DMD screen has a structure similar to a MFMS SI M reflective blazed grating. Reflection DMD Discovery 4100 (Texas Instruments Inc.) Incident light Resolution: 1,024 × 768 Diffraction Pixel pitch: 13.68 µm peaks Frame rate: 22.727 kHz Micromirrors
 - Horizontal scanner Cambridge Technology MicroMax[™] Series671

Scan angle: $\pm 6.8^{\circ}$ Scan frequency: 30 Hz Mirror size: $95 \times 170 \text{ mm}^2$



R, G, and B laser lights should illuminate DMD with different appropriate angles.





Lasers

Toptica Photonics Fiber coupled laser diodes



R:640 nm, **G**:515 nm, **B**:445 nm

Pixel pitch: 2.5 μ m (horizontal) Viewing zone angles:

Red: 14.7°, Green: 11.8°, Blue: 10.2°

Screen size: 6.2 inches

Frame rate (hologram): 30 Hz

Tokyo Univ. of Agri. & Tech.



27

Screen Scanning System: Color Images





cube

snowman

Error diffusion technique was used to binarize elementary hologram patterns.

Viewing zone angles: Red: 14.7°, Green: 11.8°, Blue: 10.2° Screen size: 6.2 inches Frame rate: 30 Hz



Accommodation Measurements







Auto refractometer: FR-5000S (Grand Seiko Co., Ltd.)

Y. Takaki and M. Yokouchi, Opt. Express 20, 3918-3931 (2012)



Results of Accommodation Measurements





Tokyo Univ. of Agri. & Tech.

Viewing-zone Scanning System

Y. Takaki et al., Opt. Express 22, 24713 (2014)



Screen size is enlarged by magnifying imaging system.

 \Rightarrow Pixel pitch increases \Rightarrow Viewing zone reduces

Reduced viewing zone is scanned by horizontal scanner.

 \Rightarrow Viewing zone is enlarged



31

Viewing-zone Scanning System: Experimental System





Magnification of imaging system: 2.86 Screen size: $40.0 \times 30.0 \text{ mm}^2$ (2.0 in.) Reduced viewing zone width: 9.75 mm Viewing zone width: 437 mm (at 600 mm) Viewing zone angle: 40° Frame rate: 60 Hz

Viewing-zone Scanning System: Reconstructed Images





Screen size: 2.0 in. Viewing zone width: 437 mm (at 600 mm) Refresh rate: 60 Hz



Focus of camera was changed.

3D: +100 mm TUAT: +30 mm Circle: -100 mm



Tokyo Univ. of Agri. & Tech.



360-degree Scanning System



T. Inoue and Y. Takaki, Opt. Express 23, 6533 (2015)

360-degree Scanning System: Experimental System



DMD: Discovery 4100 Frame rate: 22.727 kHz Resolution: 1,024 × 768 Pixel pitch: 13.68 µm Laser: 635 nm, 23 mW

Magnification: 5.71 Screen size: 80 x 60 mm² (Diameter 100 mm) Pixel pitch: 78.1 μm

Rotating screen

Rotation speed: 1,700 rpm Number of hologram / rotation: 800 Frame rate (3D): 28.4 Hz

Reduced viewing zone: 5.81 mm x 2.91 mm



3D: 110 mm, TUAT: 90 mm, circle: 70 mm



plane1: 90 mm, plane2: 110 mm



International optical convergence technology conference 2015



Requirement for Display Devices

Screen size: 40 in. (886 mm × 498 mm) Frame rate: 60 Hz

Display technology:

Holography (pixel pitch: 1 μm) full-parallax: 441 Gpixels HPO: 956 Mpixels SMV (resolution: 1920 × 1080) full-parallax: 256 × 128 views: 70 Gpixels HPO: 256 views: 531 Mpixels

Device technology:

Full HD: 2 Mpixels 4K: 8 Mpixels Super HV: 33 Mpixels DMD: 300 Mpixels (binary)



The next-generation 3D displays should be free from visual fatigue caused by the vergence-accommodation conflict, and also provide smooth motion parallax.

Holography and SMV displays are candidates for the nextgeneration 3D displays.

Several types of the SMV displays, the scanning holography using MEMS SLM, and the accommodation responses were shown.

The requirement for display devices to realize these displays was discussed.



ACKNOWLEGEMENTS

Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, No.(B) 13555106, JSPS (2001~2002) SCOPE, Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications, Japan (2002~2006) Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, No.(B) 15360183, JSPS (2003~2004) Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, No.(B) 18360165, JSPS (2006~2007) Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, No.(B) 20360153, JSPS (2008~2010) Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, No.(B) 23360148, JSPS (2011~2013) Grant-in-Aid for Challenging Exploratory Research, No. 23656234, JSPS (2011~2012) Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, No.(B), 15H03987, JSPS (2015~2017) Collaborative R & D: NTT DoCoMo, Seiko EPSON, DENSO